

# Sensing the Internet through crowdsourcing

E. Gregori<sup>1</sup>, L. Lenzini<sup>2</sup>, V. Luconi<sup>2</sup>, A. Vecchio<sup>2</sup>

(1) Istituto di Informatica e Telematica Italian National Research Council (CNR) Italy (2) Dip. di Ingegneria dell'Informazione University of Pisa Italy



## The graph of the Internet

- Having a graph of the Internet is useful when designing new protocols and networks, or to understand its evolution.
- But... Internet Service Providers (ISPs) typically operate as commercial entities and are reluctant to publicly reveal their network structure and properties.
- Research focusing on methods for the discovery of the Internet topology gained momentum in the last years.
- Two approaches:
  - Passive methods: rely on Border Gateway Protocol (BGP) information and Internet registries
  - Active methods: based on traceroute and its evolutions



## The graph of the Internet

- Passive methods
  - Pros: no traffic is generated
  - Cons: BGP paths do not cover the entire Internet (visibility constraints, route aggregation, hidden suboptimal paths and policy filtering); information not always complete or up to date.
- Active methods:
  - Pros: selective analysis of "obscure" parts of the Internet
  - Cons: generate traffic
- We focused on active methods
- Graph at the autonomous system (AS) level



 Measuring the Internet from a set of fixed observation points (also called monitors) can still lead to unsatisfactory results: since such observation points are usually placed in proximity of the core of the Internet they are unable to provide detailed information about the fringes of the network.







 Measuring the Internet from a set of fixed observation points (also called monitors) can still lead to unsatisfactory results: since such observation points are usually placed in proximity of the core of the Internet they are unable to provide detailed information about the fringes of the network.





 Measuring the Internet from a set of fixed observation points (also called monitors) can still lead to unsatisfactory results: since such observation points are usually placed in proximity of the core of the Internet they are unable to provide detailed information about the fringes of the network.





- Links can be detected
  - with a large number of monitors
  - omnidirectional probes, limited radius





- Links can be detected
  - with a large number of monitors
  - omnidirectional probes, limited radius



#### Portolan



- Portolan is a crowdsourcing-based system where smartphones play the role of mobile measuring elements.
- Each smartphone collects a number of local measures that are subsequently forwarded to a server, where they are assembled to generate a global map of the Internet.
- Mobility of nodes enables each single monitor to have different perspectives of the network, thus obtaining more detailed information.
- GPS enables geo-location of measures.





#### Portolan



- We used some standard specifications (Sensor Web Enablement, Open Geospatial Consortium).
  - Sensor Observation Service (SOS): repository of observations;
  - Sensor Planning Service (SPS): used for assigning tasks to sensors;
  - Observation&Measurements: for standard representation;
  - SensorML: to describe sensors' capabilities.
- Large tasks are divided into loosely coupled micro-tasks, to achieve parallelization if a large number of clients is available.



- Proxies are introduced for scalability.
- Smartphones poll proxies for micro-tasks
  - Tasks take into account dynamical properties of the client such as geo-position and network-position;
  - Clients are usually behind a NAT.
- Google's Cloud Messaging for urgent tasks and other outof-band communication.



## Sensing the Internet

- We carried out a measurement campaign to validate the system functionality, and to assess the soundness of an approach based on local measures.
- The experiment was aimed at discovering the public peering links between a specific AS (source) and a set of candidate peers (targets).
- An Internet Exchange Point (IXP) is a physical location that allows multiple ASes to exchange their traffic through its switching infrastructure.
- In many cases peering links are established at an IXP.
- Experiment:
  - 1 source AS (AS2597)
  - 1 IXP (Milan Internet eXchange, MIX)
  - 79 target ASes (all the ASes connected to MIX)

#### Sensing the Internet





- Portolan discovered 22 p2p links.
- Results have been compared with the set of links contained in three popular databases: CAIDA, Isolario, DIMES.
- 16 unknown links discovered (only 6 were known).

#### Sensing the Internet



- In many cases the source AS is connected to the target ASes via another AS (AS137).
- Thus we indirectely found the p2p links of AS137.
- Portolan discovered 33 links, only 9 were already known to CAIDA, Isolario, and DIMES.
- 24 unknown links discovered.







- List of target IP addresses
  - 1556 microtasks
  - Each microtask 100 IP addresses
- Duration
  - 1 traceroute: 5-10 seconds
  - 1 microtask: 8-16 minutes
  - Entire campaign: 16 days on a single device
- We optimized the execution by removing microtasks from the execution queue when they could not give additional information  $\rightarrow$  135 microtasks.
- 36 hours on a single device.
- Energy and network:
  - 1 microtask: approximately 1% of battery
  - 1 microtask: 340KB out, 440KB in



# Sensing received signal strength

- Portolan has been designed as a general network measuring tool, based on crowdsourcing to solve largescale problems.
- It is able to measure the received signal strength of mobile operators.
- Now:
  - 90 users
  - 450K point<mark>s</mark>





#### Sensing received signal strength





- The amount of new links discovered by using even a single smartphone demonstrates the effectiveness of the "local" sensing approach.
- Crowdsourcing can be an effective strategy for tackling large-scale network monitoring.
- Problem:
  - Motivating users